1 2	PAUL J. SMOOT, SBN 160787 ANDERLINI, FINKELSTEIN, EMERICK & SMOOT 400 South El Camino Real, Suite 700	
3	San Mateo, California 94402 Telephone (650) 348-0102	
4	Facsimile (650) 348-0962	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff CONNIE L. BROWN	
6		
7	·	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
11	JAMES ARMSTRONG, MONIQUE BAILEY, CONNIE L. BROWN, KARL	Case No. C04-1536 VRW
12	DYSON, EDWARD FERDINAND, RICHARD FONTENBERRY, JR., NADINE	(Case No. C01-2611 VRW)
13	GORDON, VICTOR HUMPHREY, TESHA JENNINGS, DAVID JOHNWELL,	STIPULATION; ORDER THEREON
14	RHEUBEN CHARLES JOHNSON, DEREK MADARIS, GLORIA OWENS, ROY RAY,	
15	RICARDO RIDEOUT, SR., MARY SIMMONS, LAMAR SIMPSON, JR., and	
16	TRESSA KNOX	
17	Plaintiffs,	
18	v.	
19	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO and DOES 1-50, inclusive	
20	Defendants.	
21	CONNIE L. BROWN	
22	Plaintiff, ())
23	v.))
24	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC	
25	WORKS	
26	Defendants,	
27		
28	Due to the nature and complexity of this case, there are no other attorneys in plaintiffs'	
		1
•	STIPULATION; ORDER THEREON - C04-1536 VRW; C01-2611 V	RW

counsel's office who are sufficiently familiar with either the Armstrong action [C01-2611 VRW] or the *Brown* action [C04-1536 VRW] to prepare and take the depositions for plaintiffs' case or to defend the depositions for plaintiffs. Because of the similarity of facts, witnesses, and legal issues in the Armstrong action and the Brown action, the discovery is overlapping, and, at the Joint Case Management Conference, before the Honorable Vaughn R. Walker, the parties had agreed to utilize the same discovery for both cases.

As a result of Ms. Brown's condition and required time off to rehabilitate, concomitant with the scheduling conflicts due, the parties request the discovery cut-off and hearing of dispositive motions be continued as set forth below. Ms. Brown's neurosurgeon and neurologist have cleared Ms. Brown to return to work and for litigation purposes by March 31, 2006. The parties request the scheduled be continued approximately 60 days.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties hereto, through their respective counsel as undersigned, that:

- 1. Non-expert discovery completion date be continued from the currently scheduled date of February 14, 2006 to May 19, 2006;
- 2. Filing for dispositive motions, including summary judgment, be continued from the currently scheduled date of February 14, 2006 to May 19, 2006;
- 3. Hearing on the dispositive motions, including summary judgment, be continued from the currently scheduled date of March 21, 2006, at 2:00 p.m. to June 26, 2006, at 2:00 p.m.;
- Expert discovery disclosure reports be continued from the currently scheduled 4. date of March 30, 2006 to June 13, 2006;
- 5. Expert discovery rebuttal completion date be continued from the currently scheduled date of April 28, 2006, to July 12, 2006;
- 6. Completion of expert discovery be continued from the currently scheduled date of May 29, 2006 to August 11, 2006;

[INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

27

23

24

25

26

28

1	- The state of the supporting scheduled date of time 16	
1	7. Pre-trial conference be continued from the currently scheduled date of June 16,	
2	2006 to August 30, 2006. August 29, 2006 at 9:00 a.m.	
3		
4	Dated: 12/20/08 ANDERLINI, FINKELSTEIN, EMERICK & SMOOT	
5		
6	By: PAUL I SMOOT	
7	Aftorneys for Pizantiff	
8	Dated: 12/20/05 SAN FRANCISCO CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	
9	Dated: SANTIA BIOLOGICA	
10	Duy C	
11	By: Jill A. Sprague Attorneys for Defendant CITY AND COUNTY OF	
12	SAN FRANCISCO and DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS	
13	PUBLIK WORKS	
14	indicated by a	
15	I hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any signatures indicated by a "conformed" signature (/S/) within this effled document.	
16	STAIL	
17	ORDER	
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
19	Dated:	
20	December 27, 2005	
21	DISTRICTOR	
22	STRICE STRICE	
23		
23 24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	3	